# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)
STEPHEN PROFFITT, M.D. Certificate # A-22252	) File No: 04-92-21007 )
Respondent.	) ) )
DECISION A	AND ORDER
of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of State of California, as its Decision in the abo	
This Decision shall become effective or	n <u>June 15, 1997</u> .
DATED May 16, 1997	
	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
	Ance ha
	Anabel Anderson Imbert, M.D., Chair, Panel B

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 2 SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 141135 3 Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2083 5 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1.0 11 In the Matter of the Accusation NO. 04-92-21007 Against: 12 STIPULATION IN STEPHEN PROFFITT, M.D. SETTLEMENT AND DECISION 13 P. O. Box 9828 Fountain Valley, CA 92728 14 Physician's and Surgeon's 15 No. A 22252 Respondent. 16 In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of 17 18 this matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibility of the Divisionof medical Quality, Medical Board 19 20 of California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Division") the parties submit this Stipulation and Decision to the Division for 21 its approval and adoption as the final disposition of the 22 Accusation. 23 24 The parties stipulate the following is true: 25 An Accusation, No. 04-92-21007, is currently pending against Stephen Proffitt, M.D. ("respondent"), before the

27

The Accusation, together with all other statutorily

required documents, was duly served on the respondent on or about May 2, 1996, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense on or about June 3, 1996. A copy of Accusation No. 04-92-21007 is attached as Attachment "A" and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- 2. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician's and Surgeon's No. A 22252.
- 3. Respondent is represented by counsel Theodore A. Cohen, Esq. in this matter. Respondent has fully and completely discussed with his counsel the effects of this stipulation.
- 4. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that the charges and allegations constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his license to practice. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said Accusation, his right to reconsideration, appeal and all other rights accorded pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") and Government Code and freely and voluntarily waives such rights.
- 5. Respondent admits only that he is guilty of violating Code section 2234 as defined by Code sections 2234(b) and 2234(c), in that he failed to properly document the charts of the patients to indicate complete history and physical findings, as alleged in paragraphs 5A, 6A, 8A, 9A, 11A, 12A, 14A, 15A, 17A and 18A of Accusation No. 04-92-21007, and also failed to completely document the reasons for procedures performed, as

- 6. Admissions made by respondent herein are for purposes of this proceeding, for any other disciplinary proceedings by the Division, and for any petition for reinstatement, reduction of penalty, or application for relicensure, and shall have no force or effect in any other case or proceeding.
- 7. It is understood by respondent that, in deciding whether to adopt this stipulation, the Division may receive oral and written communications from its staff and the Attorney General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Division or other persons from future participation in this or any other matter affecting respondent. In the event this settlement is not adopted by the Division, the stipulation will not become effective and may not be used for any purpose, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in effect.
- 8. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and findings, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice of formal proceeding, issue and enter an Order as follows:

#### ORDER

A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's And Surgeon's number A 22252 issued to Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is revoked.

However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on

probation for five (5) years on the terms and conditions set forth below. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision, respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

#### 1. EDUCATION COURSE

Within 90 days from the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division, which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

#### 2. ETHICS COURSE

Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete

the course during the first year of probation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAM

Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical exam on ENT treatment of children and infants. The clinical exam shall be administered by the Division, or its designee. examination shall be taken within 90 days after the effective date of this decision. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three months. respondent fails to pass the first and second examinations, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within 18 months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. respondent shall pay the costs of all examinations.

If respondent fails to pass the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

#### MONITORING

Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its designee approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall had be monitored by another physician in respondents field of

52,17%

practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee. If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within 15 days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

#### 3. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

#### 4. QUARTERLY REPORTS

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

# Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his or her addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

# 6. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## 7. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-STATE NON-PRACTICE

In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of nonpractice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

#### 8. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

#### 9. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to

be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

#### 10. COST RECOVERY

The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$7,000 within 90 days from the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of its investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

#### 11. PROBATION COSTS

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation which is currently set at \$2,304.00, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.

#### 12. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or

is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to terms and conditions of probation.

13. The within stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect for either party.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read and fully understand the stipulation and order set forth above. I have discussed the terms and conditions set forth in the stipulation and order with my attorney Theodore A. Cohen, Esq. I understand that in signing this stipulation I am waiving my right to a hearing on the charges set forth in the Accusation on file in this matter. I further understand that in signing this stipulation the Division may enter the foregoing order placing certain requirements, restrictions and limitations on my right to practice medicine in the State of California.

STEPHEN PROFFFTT, M.D. Respondent

1.7

#### 1 ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulation in Settlement, Decision and 2 Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of 3 the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of 4 California. 5 Feb. 1997 DATED: 6 DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California 7 8 9 10 SAMUEL K. HAMMOND Deputy Attorney General 11 Attorneys for Complainant 12 13 14 DATED: 15 16 17 ZHEODORE A. COHEN Attorney for Respondent 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 ///

24

25

26

27

///

///

///

ATTACHMENT "A"

	1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
	2	SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 141135
	3	Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100
	4	Post Office Box 85266
	5	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2083
	6	Attorneys for Complainant
	7	
	8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
	9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
	10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) NO. 04-92-21007 Against:
	12	)
	13	STEPHEN D. PROFFITT, M.D. ) <u>A C C U S A T I O N</u> P. O. Box 9828 ) Fountain Valley, CA 92728 )
	14	) .
	15	Physician's and Surgeon's ) Certificate No. A 22252 ) Respondent. )
	16	Respondent. /
	17	Complainant Ronald Joseph, who as cause for
	18	disciplinary action, alleges:
	19	<u>PARTIES</u>
	20	1. Complainant is the Executive Director of the
	21	Medical Board of California ("Board") and makes and files this
	22	accusation solely in his official capacity.
	23	<u>License Status</u>
	24	2. On or about September 30, 1966, Physician's and
	25	Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22252 was issued by the Board to
•	26.	Stephen D. Proffitt, M.D. ("respondent"), and at all times
	27	
	}	

relevant herein, said physician's and surgeon's certificate was, and currently is, in full force and effect.

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1,8

19

.20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
  - Section 2227 provides that the Division may Α. revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
  - Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
    - (b) Gross negligence.
    - (c) Repeated negligent acts.
  - C. Section 725 of the Code provides in pertinent part, that repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the community is unprofessional conduct.
  - D. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Facts pertaining to patient Carlos G.)

- 4. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b), 2234(c) and 725 of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Carlos G. The circumstances are as follows:
  - On or about July 9, 1991, the parents of this а. 14-month-old infant took the patient to respondent's offices. The patient's pediatrician had referred the patient to respondent. The patient's complaint was pain in his left ear. Respondent did not generate any office notes or charts for this patient on this visit. Respondent performed several audiometric testing including, Medical Interpretation, Combined Audiometry, Tympanometry, Rhinomanometry and Sinus Illumination. There is no justification for all these tests. Respondent's diagnosis was rhinitis rhinosinusitis, left tympanic membrane perforation and chronic otitis media. Respondent made no attempt to treat the patient's pain with medication.
  - b. On or about July 12, 1991, respondent performed surgery on the patient. The surgery involved left myringoplasty, bilateral myringotomy and tubes, bilateral modified Wigand sinusotomies, and ligation of the ethmoidal arteries.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

<sup>26</sup> 

<sup>1.</sup> Respondent's insurance claim forms indicate he performed "ligation, ethmoidal art" on the patient. However, these procedures were not mentioned in his operative report dated July 12, 1991.

- c. On or about September 24, 1991, respondent saw this patient again. Respondent did not generate any office notes or charts for the patient on this visit. Respondent diagnosis was "acute drainage from the right ear." Respondent made no attempt to treat this problem with medication.
- d. On or about September 27, 1991, respondent performed surgery on the patient. The surgery involved "exploratory tympanotomy on the right side with myringoplasty and eustachian cannula." After this surgery, the patient made one more visit to respondent's offices. Respondent did not generate any office notes or charts for this visit.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Gross Negligence)

- 5. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(b) of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Carlos G. as follows:
  - A. Respondent failed to maintain a proper medical record of all the visits this patient made to his offices.
  - B. Respondent made no effort to treat this 14month-old patient with medication before performing the
    surgeries.
  - C. Respondent performed myringoplasty on a 14-month-old infant on two separate occasions.

27 | \\\

-26

///

a. On or about July 23, 1992, this 9-yearold patient was referred to respondent by her primary
care physician. The patient's complaint was ear and
throat infection. Respondent did not generate any
office notes or charts for this patient on this visit.
Respondent performed several audiometric testing
including, Medical Interpretation, Combined Audiometry,
Tympanometry, Fisbula Test, Acoustic Reflex Testing,
Tinnitus, Eustachian Tube Function Test and Stenger
Testing. There is no justification for all these
tests. Respondent's diagnosis was rhinitis
rhinosinusitis and otitis media.

b. This patient made another visit on or about July 30, 1992. On this visit respondent performed nasal studies. Respondent did not generate any charts or notes for this visit.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Gross Negligence)

- 8. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(b) of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Cynthia E. as follows:
  - A. Respondent failed to maintain a proper medical record of all the visits this patient made to his offices.

25 | \\\

**-** 26. | \\\

<sup>2.</sup> Respondent's insurance claim forms indicate he saw this patient on this date.

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 9. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(c) of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Cynthia E. as follows:
  - A. Respondent failed to describe the patient's history relating to the patient's problems and also failed to describe the status of the patient's eardrums or nasal cavity.
  - B. Respondent utilized numerous and unnecessary diagnostic tests in his treatment of this patient.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Facts pertaining to patient Jose D.)

- 10. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b), 2234(c) and 725 of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Jose D. The circumstances are as follows:
  - a. On or about November 11, 1991, this

    11-year-old patient was referred to respondent by the

    patient's primary care physician. The complaint was

    perforation of the right eardrum. Respondent did not

    generate any office notes or charts for this patient on

    this visit. Respondent performed several audiometric

    testing including, Binocular Micro Examination of the

    Ears, Medical Interpretation, Combined Audiometry,

    Tympanometry, Fistula Test, Acoustic Reflex Testing

There is no justification for all

1

and Tinnitus.

I

### NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 12. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(c) of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Jose D. as follows:
  - A. Respondent failed to describe the patient's history relating to the patient's problems and also failed to describe the status of the patient's eardrums or nasal cavity.
  - B. Respondent failed to treat the patient with medication before performing the surgeries.
  - C. Respondent utilized numerous and unnecessary diagnostic tests in his treatment of this patient.

#### TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Facts pertaining to patient Roberto D.)

- 13. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b), 2234(c) and 725 of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient Roberto D. The circumstances are as follows:
  - a. On or about January 17, 1991, this patient first consulted with respondent. Respondent did not generate any charts or notes on this patient. There is no documentation of the patient's history or any physical examination performed.

25 | \\\

- 26

<sup>3.</sup> Respondent's insurance billing records indicate he first saw this patient on this date.

b. On or about February 22, 1991, respondent diagnosed the patient with rhinitis rhinosinusitis and epistaxis. There is no documentation of the basis for this diagnosis. On this same date, respondent performed surgery on the patient. The surgery involved "bilateral Wigand sinusotomies, turbinate reduction and septal reconstruction.

- c. On or about March 7, 1991, the patient made another visit to respondent's offices. Respondent did not generate any charts or notes for the patient on this visit. On this date, respondent performed a series of tests including Medical Interpretation, Echo Head and Sinus, Combined Audiometry, Tinnitus and Impedance Testing. There is no justification for these tests. Respondent diagnosis was otitis media and acute sinusitis.
- d. On or about May 2, 1991, the patient made another visit to respondent's offices. Respondent did not generate any charts or Notes for the patient on this visit.

  On this visit respondent performed a series of tests including Medical Interpretation, Echo Head and Neck,

  Fistula Test, Combined Audiometry, Tympanometry, Tone Decay Test and Tinnitus. There is no justification for all these tests. Respondent diagnosis was combined sensorineural hearing loss and sinusitis.

25 \\\

**-** 26 \\\

27 \\\

l l	
2	(Gross negligence)
3	14. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further
4.	subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(b) of the Code
5	in his care and treatment of his patient Roberto D. as follows:
6	A. Respondent failed to maintain a proper medical
7	record of all the visits this patient made to his offices.
8	B. Respondent made no effort to treat this patient
9	with medication before performing the surgeries on the
10	patient.
11	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Repeated Negligent Acts)
13	15. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further
14	subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(c) of the Code
15	in his care and treatment of his patient Roberto D. as follows:
16	A. Respondent failed to describe the patient's
17	history relating to the patient's problems or any
18	physical examination performed.
1.9	B. Respondent utilized numerous and unnecessary
20	diagnostic tests in his treatment of this patient.
21	THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Facts pertaining to patient Marisela U.)
23	16. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further
24	subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b), 2234(c)
25	and 725 of the Code in his care and treatment of his patient
26	Marisela U. The circumstances are as follows:
27	

1	a. On or about May 4, 1992, this patient went to
2	respondent's office for ear testing. Respondent did not
3	generate any notes or charts for this patient. Respondent
4	performed several tests including medical interpretation,
5	Echo Soft Tissue-Head & Neck, Combined Audiometry,
6	Tympanometry, Acoustic Reflex Testing, Tinnitus and Stenger
7	Testing. There is no justification for all these tests.
8	FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Gross negligence)
10	17. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further
11	subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(b) of the Code
12	in-his care and treatment of his patient Marisela U. as follows:
13	A. Respondent failed to maintain a proper
14	medical record of the visit this patient made to his
15	offices.
16	FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Repeated Negligent Acts)
18	18. Respondent Stephen Proffitt, M.D. is further
19	subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(c) of the Code
20	in his care and treatment of his patient Marisela U. as follows:
21	A. Respondent failed to describe the patient's
22	history relating to the patient's problems or any
23	physical examination performed.
24	B. Respondent utilized numerous and unnecessary
25	diagnostic tests in his treatment of this patient.

**~** 26

Taking such other and further action as the Division deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

DATED: MAY 2, 1996

Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

14.